

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,406	10/14/2003	Peter M. Tisbo	1033.000038	8599
21917 75	90 07/07/2004		EXAM	INER
MCHALE & SLAVIN, P.A. 2855 PGA BLVD PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3676	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Community	10/687,406	TISBO, PETER M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark A. Williams	3676
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum state of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will. by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .	
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 20</u>	<u>003</u> is/are: a) <u></u> accepted or b)⊠ o	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	dearmant barrella a de	
	documents have been received.	andination M
	documents have been received in A	
application from the Internation	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
	The district and defaulted deployment	Toodived.
A44		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 , □	(070 447)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	rO-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	PTO/SB/08) 5) D Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/04. S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:	
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040627

Application/Control Number: 10/687,406

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the shading makes details unclear after 1. scanning, and new drawings should be provided. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,406

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: a period is required at the end of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Napiorkowski et al., US Patent 5,567,916. A wire management grommet 2 comprising an outer peripheral member 4 and an inner perforate member (10, 18), said outer peripheral member being constructed and arranged to frictionally engage a planar surface, said outer member being formed from a first material having sufficient mechanical stiffness for effective frictional engagement; said inner perforate member being over-molded about said outer peripheral member to form a unitary wire management device, and being formed from a second material having sufficient flexibility to permit passage of disparate cable combinations (note figures 6 and 7; column 4, lines 29-32); said inner perforate member having a

plurality of radially dispersed openings (14, 6) constructed and arranged for strainfree engagement of plural disparate cable combinations; whereby upon passage of
said plural disparate combination of cables therethrough, said inner perforate
member returns to its original configuration, due to the elastic material of
construction. The inner perforate member (10, 18) being formed as a disk having a
center point and an outer perimeter, said disk including a plurality of radial slits 20
extending therethrough and a corresponding number of radially arranged apertures
(14, 16) proximate the outer perimeter; wherein each radial slit extends from said
center point to intersect said corresponding aperture; whereby upon passage of said
plural disparate combination of cables therethrough, said inner perforate member
18 returns to its original configuration for strain-free engagement of plural
disparate cable combinations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Application/Control Number: 10/687,406

4. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Napiorkowski. The claimed device is disclosed except for the specific materials of construction. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have elected the use of such materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. See also *Ballas Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc.* (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331. Such a modification is not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

egy de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Williams 6/27/04

Mw

HEATHER SHACKELFORD
HEATHER SHACKELFORD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600